

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,170	10/30/2003	Henryk Birecki	10004242-5	8160	
7590 04/28/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER		
			ROSE, KIESHA L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- Un				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurred	10/697,170	BIRECKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi , cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 15-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-31 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ry (PTO-413) Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,170

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the filing of the application.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 15-24, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 79.
- II. Claims 25-31, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 105.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case a vacuum could be used to bend a substrate instead of injecting electrons.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,170

Art Unit: 2822

During a telephone conversation with Trueman Denny on April 14, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of the semiconductor device, claims 15-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-31 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chalamala et al.(U.S. Patent 6,091,190).

Chalamala discloses a emission device (Fig. 3) that contains an electron emission device having a storage medium having at least one storage area being in one of a plurality of states to represent the information stored in the storage area, an

Application/Control Number: 10/697,170

Art Unit: 2822

electron beam current utilized to read and write the information stored in the storage area and the electron emitter device comprising an emitter electrode (112), an extractor electrode (116), a solid-state field controlled emitter having a Schottky metal-semiconductor junction formed on emitter electrode and electrically coupled to the extractor electrode, the emitter containing a Schottky metal layer 118 such as platinum (Column 1, lines 22-26) and a semiconductor layer made of TiO2 (see column 2 lines 40+).

Claims 16, 19-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalamala as applied to claims 15 above, and further in view of Christensen (4,663,559).

Chalamala discloses all the claimed limitations except a focusing electrode. Whereas Christensen discloses an emission device (Fig. 2) that contains a storage area, an extractor electrode (26) and the additional focusing electrode (16) coupled to the solid-state field controlled emitter, an emitter (41) with a flat emitter and means for addressing the electron beams by a motion relative to one another and beam steering. The focusing electrode is use in the device to control beam emission. (Column 13, lines1-2) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a focusing electrode in order to have controlled beam emission. Also, the flattened emission electrode renders fabrication easier.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KK,

amir zarabian

UPER PATENT EXAMINER